| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---------------------------|--|
| | 10/603,982 | NEMOTO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Lynne A. Gurley | 2812 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: first office action | | |
| (1) Lynne A. Gurley (Examiner). | (3) | · i | |
| (2) Stepher A. Becker (Attorney-of-Record). | (4) | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 4 December 2003 | Time: <u>@ 11:00 AM</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Not applicable. | | | |
| Claims discussed: 2 and 5 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Not applicable. | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| Janut J. Hurley LYNNE GURLEY PATENT EXAMINER Art Unit 28/2 | | | |
| | cant/Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned the Attorney-of-Record to clarify and remedy 112 antecedent basis issues in originally presented claim 2 and, antecedent basis issues between originally presented claim 5 and the specification. Descriptive changes to the title and, minor informalities in the abstract and the specification were clarified and remedied. Changes to the drawings were discussed also. See the PTO Form 948. See the Examiner's Amendment (attached).